

**To:** CN=Jim Wigington/OU=COR/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Joe Ebersole/OU=COR/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Phil North/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jeff Frithsen/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Joe Ebersole/OU=COR/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Phil North/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jeff Frithsen/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Phil North/OU=R10/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;CN=Jeff Frithsen/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]; N=Jeff Frithsen/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA[]  
**Cc:** []  
**From:** CN=Palmer Hough/OU=DC/O=USEPA/C=US  
**Sent:** Tue 10/16/2012 9:12:37 PM  
**Subject:** stocks vs populations

Gentlemen:

When we refer to the salmon from different streams in the BB, such as the streams that would be lost under the footprint of the mine scenario, would we refer to them as unique salmon "stocks" or "populations" or neither?

-Palmer

---

Palmer Hough, Environmental Scientist  
tel: 202.566.1374 | fax: 202.566.1375

Wetlands Division  
U.S. EPA Headquarters (MC 4502T)  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW  
Washington, DC 20460  
[www.epa.gov/wetlands](http://www.epa.gov/wetlands)